DRAFT

Minutes of the meeting of the
Tandridge LOCAL COMMITTEE
held at 10.15 am on 11 December 2015
at Tandridge District Council Offices, Station Road East, Oxted, Surrey, RH8 0BT.

Surrey County Council Members:

* Mr Nick Skellett CBE (Chairman)
* Mr Michael Sydney (Vice-Chairman)
* Mr David Hodge
* Mrs Sally Ann B Marks
* Mr John Orrick
* Mrs Helena Windsor

***** In attendance

27/15 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE [Item 1]

No apologies were received.

28/15 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING [Item 2]

The minutes of the previous meetings held on the 25 September 2015 were agreed as an accurate record of the meetings.

29/15 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST [Item 3]

None received.

30/15 PETITIONS [Item 4]

None received.

31/15 FORMAL PUBLIC QUESTIONS [Item 5]

5 formal public questions were received.

Question 1

Mrs Hasler and Mrs Webster on behalf of the residents from Le Personne, Banstead Road Caterham asked if the Committee would consider installing a crossing on Banstead Road to allow the residents a safe place to cross to go to the supermarket. Mrs Webster asked if Section 106 money could be used to help fund a crossing point. The Area Highways Officer referred the residents to the written response advising that an Engineer had visited the site with the Divisional Member (Mr Orrick) and due to the new standards the road would not be wide enough to accommodate an informal pedestrian refuge. Mr Orrick advised that he was concerned that the section 106 money

could not be used for this purpose. The Committee suggested that a letter could be written to the Supermarket, Tesco, to ask if they could contribute to the cost of a formal crossing, to aid residents in crossing the road. The Committee agreed that Surrey would write to Tesco to ask for a contribution and request the Parish and District also write to strengthen the support.

Question 2

Tony Pearson as Local Secretary for The Ramblers asked, for an update on the condition and access of footpaths due to an obstruction on footpath 354 and 356 in Horne. Mr Pearson received a written response; however felt that the main issues were unanswered, as an Officer from the Countryside Team was not at the meeting, it was agreed that this would be passed to the Countryside Team for further clarification.

Question 3

Ann Osborn thanked Mr Skellett and the Committee for making the children from Limpsfield C of E Infant School feel welcome and listened to when they attended in June to ask their question. Mrs Osborn received a written response to the submitted question and raised concerns that the school crossing patrol would retire soon and without a signalised crossing it could be dangerous for children crossing the road. Officers advised that item 16 on the agenda for decision by the Committee included a proposal to fund measures to support the speed limit reduction outside of the school.

Question 4

Nutfield Parish Council were unable to attend the meeting but had been provided with a written response to their question on concerns with mud and debris on the A25 between Redhill and Bletchingley.

Question 5

Bob Harvey raised a concern regarding Item 14 of the Agenda and two lanes being reduced to one in Limpsfield. The Chairman advised Mr Harvey that he would take his comments at the start of item 14. The Highways Officer advised that the proposal was subject to detailed design and the Highways Team would carry out a consultation in the early in the design process.

32/15 MEMBERS QUESTIONS [Item 6]

One Member Question was formally submitted and one question was asked informally.

Mr Sydney raised a question regarding possible LEP bids for the Tandridge area. He felt that the response did not fully answer the question in particular with regards to the A264/A22 Junction. The Committee agreed to support Mr Sydney in writing a letter to the Transport Planning Team to ask for a further explanation.

Mr Skellett asked how would the officers advise residents and the Parish Council to respond to and reduce the use of Limpsfield High Street by HGVs,

which presently ignore "not suitable for HGVs" signs. This exacerbates congestion and damages the footways and paving sets as the HGVs are forced to mount pavements. The Area Highways Officer provided a verbal response and agreed to write a letter to the Parish Council to offer advice. Officers would also investigate if improvements could be made to the existing signage.

33/15 LOCAL COMMITTEE DECISION TRACKER (FOR INFORMATION) [Item 7]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Sarah Woodworth, Community Partnership and Committee Officer

Petition, Public Questions, Statements: None

The Chairman introduced the item explaining that this would be a public document that would track actions agreed by the committee which would not be captured in recommendations of reports. For example actions arising from petitions or public questions.

Members Discussion- Key Points

- The Chairman highlighted to the Committee that the Parking leaflet that was agreed following a question to the Local Committee from District Councillor David Cooley has now been produced and was sent to all Parish Councils and will be available on the Tandridge Area webpages on Surrey County Council website.

34/15 MEMBERS ALLOCATIONS SUMMARY [Item 8]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Sandra Brown, Community Partnership Team Leader-East

Petitions, Public Questions, Statements: None

Members Discussion – Key Points

- Members agreed to note the report.
- Mrs Marks stated that there would be an application from Ridge Radio supported by her and Mr Orrick to buy recording equipment which will help local bands.

Resolution:

The Local Committee (Tandridge)

(i) NOTED the Members' Allocation applications received and amounts spent, where indicated, as set out in Annex 1 of this report.

35/15 EAST SURREY COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP UPDATE (SERVICE MONITORING AND ISSUES OF LOCAL CONCERN) [Item 9]

Declaration of Interest: None

Officer attending: Gordon Falconer, Community Safety Unit Senior Manager.

Petitions, Public Questions, Statements: None

The Officer presented the report referring to several key points in the report. A survey was undertaken of members of the East Surrey Community Safety Partnership for a one year review. Generally comments were positive, however many comments suggested a balance needed to be found for strategic and local matters.
The partnerships work focuses on four key areas Anti Social Behaviour, Domestic Abuse, Rural Crime and Substance misuse. The Officer explained that there is now a greater expectation on Community Safety Partnerships to look at wider issues such as Prevent and Child Sexual Exploitation as well as the local issues, so a balance needs to be found for the Partnership.

Member Discussion - Key points

- Mr Orrick said he had hoped that the report contained more in relation to Tandridge and in particular how effective the Partnership had been. Mr Skellett advised that he had met with the Chair of East Surrey Community Safety Partnership and CEO of Tandridge District Council Louise Round. She has agreed to attend the next Local Committee private meeting to update Members.
- Mrs Marks felt that it would helpful for all Councillors to be provided with a briefing note as to how they could pursue their concerns in relation to community safety matters, in particular in relation to the CIAG and JAG.

Resolution:

The Local Committee (Tandridge)

i) NOTED the report for information.

36/15 SURREY FIRE AND RESCUE SERVICE- ANNUAL REPORT (SERVICE MONITORING AND ISSUES OF LOCAL CONCERN) [Item 10]

Item deferred to a future meeting.

37/15 EARLY EDUCATION AND CHILDCARE SERVICES AND CHILDREN'S CENTRE SERVICES (SERVICE MONITORING AND ISSUES OF LOCAL CONCERN) [Item 11]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Phil Osborne, Early Years and Childcare Service Manager

Petition, Public Questions, Statements: None

The Officer introduced the report and highlighted key points of interest to the Committee.

All three and four years olds are entitled to 15 hours free early years education in Tandridge, the availability and take up of these places is excellent with 97% of three and four year olds attending a provision, which is the highest figure across the District and Boroughs in Surrey. The Officer also highlighted that (Table 5 of Annex 1) Tandridge was performing above both the Surrey and National average with regards to percentage of pupils achieving a Good level of Development at the end of Reception year.

In terms of the standard of provision provided in Tandridge, (Tables 6,7 and 8 in Annex 1) based on Ofsted inspections is above the Surrey average with 94% compared with 91% being good or outstanding. Childminders also perform very well in the District with 99% classed as good or outstanding.

Children Centre's –There are four Centres within the District, the Officer stated that following the Cabinet Member decision, the County decided not go out to consultation for Hurst Green Children's centre. All Children's centre's in Tandridge had over 80% of the population of 0-4 year olds registered at the local Children's Centre, which is an excellent performance level across the District.

Members Discussion- Key Points

- Mr Skellett, thanked the Officer for attending and felt it was an encouraging report. He felt that the guidance for Children Centre's is quite vague. The Officer responded that as a lot of the centres are situated on school sites it is felt that there is a real benefit to them. The Government has said there will be a review, but no date has been set as yet.
- Mr Hodge would like to see County make best use of the space in our assets of the Children's Centres, Youth Centres and Libraries so they are available when the service is not using them.
- Mrs Marks highlighted that thanks to the work of the Committee, there was a positive outcome for Hurst Green Children's Centre.

Resolution:

The Local Committee (Tandridge)

i) NOTED the report for information.

38/15 PLANNED GAS WORKS ON STATION ROAD EAST, OXTED [Item 12]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Kevin Orledge, Street Works Team Manager and Trevor Merchant, Network Coordination Manager.

Petition, Public Questions, Statements: None

The Officer introduced the report and highlighted that the Divisional Member requested an information report to share the nature of the works that will be happening on Station Road East, Oxted in January.

The works form part of the Southern Gas Networks (SGN) replacement scheme and consists of three phases. Firstly to replace the current gas Governor, secondly to replace old pipes and complete customer connections and finally to remove the gas holder tank at a later stage.

Following consultation with key local stakeholders the works will commence on the 4 January.

SGN will be leafleting all local businesses, homes and schools to inform of the works programme and signs will be situated on all approaches to the road to advise of the works and possible delays.

Members Discussion- Key Points

- Chairman and Divisional Member Nick Skellett thanked the Streetworks team and SGN for their work on this project and for postponing the start of the works until the New Year.

Resolution:

The Local Committee (Tandridge)

i) NOTED the report for information.

39/15 ON STREET PARKING ENFORCEMENT ANNUAL UPDATE (SERVICE MONITORING AND ISSUES OF LOCAL CONCERN) [Item 13]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: David Curl, Parking Team Manager (SCC) and Jacquie Joseph, Parking Services Manager, Reigate and Banstead Borough Council.

Petition, Public Questions, Statements: Simon Morrow asked if it would be possible for a Parish Council to employ and train someone to help with parking matters in the Parish. The Officers thought that it would possible, however agreed to discuss in further detail with Mr Morrow outside of the meeting.

The Officer introduced the report, referring Members to data from the reported key 'hotspots' (sent via email to Members), which showed how many parking tickets had been issued in particular roads. Jacquie Joseph advised that Reigate and Banstead were looking at ways to reduce the property costs. The Officer highlighted that on the Reigate and Banstead website there is a

contact form where members of the public can report inconsiderate parking. This sends a message to the enforcement officers hand held devices so they can attend as soon as they are able to.

Members Discussion- Key Points

- Mr Hodge asked if enforcement officers could visit the disabled spaces in Warlingham as regularly cars park and do not display a badge.
- Mr Sydney suggested that when a ticket is issued, a larger sign should be placed on the car to show others that it has been fined and to deter people from parking on yellow lines.
- Mrs Marks asked how long the agency agreement was in place with Reigate and Banstead and would they want to continue if running at a lost. The Officer informed Members that a 5 year agreement was in place from 2013-18 with a 6 month notice period should either party wish to end the contract.
- Members raised concerns that the data they had received on the 'hotspots' was very dated as showed information for 2014/15. Members asked if this data could be provided on a three monthly basis.
- Mr Skellett confirmed that the leaflet that was requested by District Councillor David Cooley through a public question at the September Committee explaining the different parking enforcement matters and who to contact had now circulated to Members and Parishes. This would also be sent to all District Members.

Resolution:

The Local Committee (Tandridge)

i) NOTED the contents of the report.

40/15 RECOMMENDATION FOR IMPROVING ROAD SAFETY OUTSIDE LIMPSFIELD C OF E INFANT SCHOOL [Item 14]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Duncan Knox, Road Safety Team Manager

Petition, Public Questions, Statements: The Chairman invited Mr Bob Harvey to ask his public question (number 5) at the start of the item. Mr Harvey raised concerns of the A25 eastbound becoming one lane and the congestion this could cause.

District Councillor Mrs Parker, informed the Committee that the school patrols do have other roles within the school and manage this role alongside their other role.

The Officer presented the report to the Committee, following the Cabinet Member decision in September to reduce the speed limit to 30mph outside of the school. The Officer advised that it was finding the delicate balance

between making the road look and feel like a 30mph and reducing the speeds.

Members Discussion- Key Points

- Divisional Member Nick Skellett would like the scheme to be put on the list in order to have feasibility designs produced. The Principal Engineer in Highways advised that £20,000 would be allocated to carry out design of the measures proposed in the report and commence implementation if approved in item 16.

Resolution:

The Local Committee (Tandridge):

(i) AGREED that the highway improvement proposals presented in paragraph 3.2 of this report are added to the Integrated Transport Scheme list of possible future highway improvements for Tandridge, for consideration for future funding; and

(ii) NOTED that Limpsfield C of E Infant School will be asked to update their School Travel Plan and carry out supporting road safety education and training initiatives as described within this report, supported by the county council's Sustainable School Travel Team.

Sally Marks left the meeting.

41/15 HIGHWAYS SCHEMES UPDATE 2015/16 (SERVICE MONITORING AND ISSUES OF LOCAL CONCERN) [Item 15]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager (South East) and Anita Guy, Principle Engineer.

Petition, Public Questions, Statements: None

Members Discussion- Key Points

- Mrs Windsor raised the works on the A22/A25 junction as it has caused disruption to the residents of Godstone with the long queues with the diversion that is in place. The Officer advised that works are on target to be completed by the 19 December and would reopen for the Christmas period. The southbound stretch would be closed on the 4th January for 4 weeks.
- Mr Sydney referred to the junction improvements on High Street/Plough Road/ Dormans Road, Dormansland asking if the speed cushions would be included in Dormans Road? The Officer confirmed that speed cushions are shown on the plan but that consultation would need to be carried out if funding is allocated to progress this scheme.
- Mr Sydney asked if the works in Effingham Road, Copthorne had been completed. The Officer advised that the loop cutting still needed to be carried out.
- Mr Hodge asked if Farleigh Road could be investigated as in heavy rain there is a large amount of water on the road. Mr Hodge also

highlighted that there were nine gullies on Harrow, Road Warlingham and not 10.

- Mrs Windsor wished to thank Steve Cunnah, Maintenance Engineer and his team for the work on the culvert on Kings Cross Lane, Godstone.
- Mr Orrick asked if Banstead Road could be included with reference to the Section 106 monies from Oak Grove.

Resolution:

The Local Committee (Tandridge):

i). NOTED the contents of the report

42/15 HIGHWAYS FORWARD PROGRAMME FOR 2016/17 -2017/18 [Item 16]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Zena Curry, Highways Area Manger (South East) and Anita Guy, Principle Engineer.

Petition, Public Questions, Statements: None

The Officer advised the financial figures in Annex 2 are based on the Medium Term Financial Plan 2015 which sets out a reduction in the projected countywide budget for capital Local Transport schemes funding. It is assumed that the revenue budget will remain the same for 2016/17 and 2017/18. A report would be presented for decision at the March 2016 Committee meeting should the amount of funding change from those in the report.

Members Discussion- Key Points

- Mr Orrick asked for clarification of the figures in Annex 1 for amounts from Section 106 and CIL in Tandridge, asking if the amount for Oaklands Hospital of £100,000 was for Highways or the total amount.

Resolution:

The Local Committee (Tandridge):

General

(i) NOTED that the Local Committee's devolved highways budget for capital works has been reduced as set out in the Medium Term Financial Plan, to £321,253 in 2016/17 and to £275,360 in 2017/18, and that it has been assumed that the revenue budget for 2016/17 remains the same as for 2015/16, at £196,390;

(ii) NOTED that a further report will be presented to the March 2016 meeting of the Tandridge Local Committee to agree a revised programme should the devolved budget vary from these amounts;

Capital Improvement Schemes (ITS)

(iii) AGREED that the capital improvement schemes allocation for Tandridge be used to progress the Integrated Transport Schemes programme set out in Annex 2;

(iv) AUTHORISED that the Area Highway Manager, in consultation with the Local Committee Chairman and Vice-Chairman, be able to vire money between the schemes agreed in Annex 2, if required;

Capital Maintenance Schemes (LSR)

(v) AGREED that the capital maintenance schemes allocation for Tandridge be divided equitably between County Councillors to carry out Local Structural Repair, and that the schemes to be progressed be agreed by the Area Maintenance Engineer in consultation with the Local Committee Chairman, Vice-Chairman and local divisional Members;

Revenue Maintenance

(vi) AUTHORISED the Area Maintenance Engineer, in consultation with the Local Committee Chairman, Vice-Chairman and relevant local divisional Member, to use £67,500 of the revenue maintenance budget for 2016/17 as detailed in Table 2 of this report;

(vii) AGREED that £5,000 per County Councillor be allocated from the revenue maintenance budget for Highways Localism Initiative works, and that if bids for this funding have not been received by the end of May 2016, the monies revert to the relevant Member to use to fund Community Enhancement works;

(viii) AGREED that Members should contact the Area Maintenance Engineer to discuss their specific requirements with regard to any Community Enhancement allocation and arrange for the work activities to be managed by the Area Maintenance Engineer on their behalf;

(ix) AGREED that the remaining £98,890 of the revenue maintenance budget be used to fund a gang to carry out minor maintenance works throughout Tandridge, managed on Members' behalf by the Area Maintenance Engineer.

43/15 UPDATE ON HOLLAND LAND WEST BRIDGE [Item 17]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Zena Curry, Area (South East) Highways Manager

Petition, Public Questions, Statements: None

The Area Highway Manager presented the report. Following the Traffic Regulation Order process, the advertised notice received two objections from the Parish Council and a District Councillor. Network Rail will be reinstating the physical width restriction on the East Bridge and a new 18 tonne weight restriction introduced on the West Bridge.

Resolution

The Local Committee (Tandridge)

i) NOTED the report for information

Meeting ended at: 12.43 pm

_____

Chairman

This page is intentionally left blank

SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL

LOCAL COMMITTEE (TANDRIDGE)

DATE: **11 December 2015**

SUBJECT: **Public Question**

DIVISION: **Caterham Hill**

Residents at Le Personne ask:

We the undersigned, ask Surrey County Council, to consider improving Banstead Road, Caterham CR3 5SW so that pedestrians can cross more safely when accessing the bus stops and the footpaths to shopping, including the supermarket at the Barracks Coulsdon Road.

Response:

The B2030 Banstead Road is a single carriageway road, subject to a speed limit of 30mph. There are currently no dedicated crossing facilities on this section of road. Requests to review the road were received earlier this year, including representation from the Divisional Member. Following a review it was found that the available road width is insufficient to accommodate a pedestrian refuge, which would aid crossing near Le Personne and the bus stops.

Road safety

Road collisions across the county are continually monitored. Significant changes or increases in the pattern of collisions are referred to the relevant Road Safety Working Group for action to be determined. This group consists of road safety specialists from both Surrey Police and the County Council. Discussions potentially lead to the development of measures such as physical changes, enforcement, or educational campaigns. Potential measures are prioritised based on likely reductions in casualties.

The available collision data records two personal injury accidents on this section of Banstead Road, as detailed below:

(i) March 2013; the section between the junctions with Money Road and Livingstone Road; a driver pulled over due to seeing a blue light in their rear view mirror and was hit from behind by another vehicle.

(ii) May 2014; at the junction with Money Road; a vehicle turning right from Money Road collided with another vehicle. The attending police officer recorded the likely contributory factors as ‘failed to look properly; vision affected by stationary or parked vehicles; exceeding speed limit’.

On the basis of the available data, the provision of crossing facilities in Banstead Road would not be a high priority for the allocation of funding from the road safety budget.

Whilst there are no plans for measures within the current highways programme, this location has been included on the 'speed management plan' for Tandridge. Surrey County Council, in partnership with the police and travel advisors, identify locations with a poor safety record by analysing collision data and trends. Locations of community concern are also considered, when residents, elected members or community surveys have highlighted locations where it is thought that there may be a problem. The monitoring of the road includes analysis of collisions and vehicle speeds and discussion at the Road Safety Working Group. The safety issues will continue to be monitored as part of the speed management and road safety initiatives.

Local Committee funding for highway improvements

Tandridge Local Committee holds a small budget for highway improvements, referred to as Integrated Transport Schemes (ITS). Potential measures are regularly reviewed by Surrey Officers with elected members of the local committee for Tandridge to identify possible highway improvement schemes. These schemes may then be taken forward for feasibility, design and implementation, subject to approval by Tandridge Local Committee and the level of funding available.

The allocation of the Local Committee's ITS capital budget for the forthcoming financial year (2016/17) is addressed as an item on the agenda of this committee meeting (11 December 2015).

The request for improved crossing facilities in Banstead Road will be added to the Integrated Transport Schemes (ITS) list for consideration for future funding, to be prioritised alongside other schemes. The request will be reviewed when the Tandridge ITS forward programme for 2017/18 – 2018/19 is developed with Members.

In the current financial year (2015/16) the Local Committee allocated a small budget for improvements to road signs and markings. Following the request for review earlier this year, the Southeast Area Highways Team arranged the installation of 'Frail or disabled pedestrians likely to cross road ahead' warning signs on each approach to the entrance to Le Personne. These were installed in the summer, funded from the Local Committee's budget.

Contact Officer: Peter Shimadry, Engineer, South East Area Team, 03456 009 009

SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL

LOCAL COMMITTEE (TANDRIDGE)

DATE: **11 December 2015**

SUBJECT: **Public Question**

DIVISION: **Lingfield**

On behalf of The Ramblers, Tony Pearson Local footpath Secretary asks:

Over 6 years ago I was contacted by a local resident who was concerned about the state of public footpaths in the Newchapel area. I met him for a site visit and it was clear that signs were missing and some paths had been obstructed, preventing onward travel. These defects were reported to the then Rights of Way Officer in November 2009 and I have regularly chased her successors but, to date, no effective action appears to have been taken to ensure that these rights of way can be used by the public without hindrance.

The footpaths are FP 354 and FP 356 Horne between West Park Road and East Park Lane. Some 15 defects have been reported to the Council, the most significant being:

FP 354 – grid reference TQ 3546 4214, where paddock fencing has been erected, presumably by the owners of Crofters, East Park Lane. There are no footpath signs and whilst it might be possible to climb over the fence, most members of the public faced with this situation, will assume that they are entering private property and that there is no onward footpath.

FP 356 – grid reference TQ 3549 4186, at Quarry Farm Riding School, where electric horse tapes force the public to go along right hand side of hedge (definitive route goes across where a horse riding training area has been created), which later becomes overgrown preventing continuation of unofficial route.

The Council uses a grading system to prioritise reported defects. Given that both the above problems prevent use of the definitive route without a suitable alternative, the defects ought to have been allocated as Priority 2 issues. I e-mailed County Councillor Sydney twice a few months ago with my concerns but he has not had the courtesy to respond.

The Council has a statutory duty under Section 130 of the Highways Act 1980 to:
a) protect and assert the rights of the public to the use and enjoyment of highways.
b) prevent as far as possible the stopping-up or obstruction of those highways.

As a local volunteer for The Ramblers I am very well aware that the Council has severe budget limitations at present. We are doing what we can to assist the Council in maintaining the rights of way network, contributing over 2,000 volunteer hours each year throughout Surrey. But inaction by the Council over a 6 year period is incomprehensible.

My questions to the Committee are therefore:

i). Why has action not been taken to deal with the illegal obstructions?
ii). What is the Council's policy where public footpaths have been blocked by landowners?
iii). When will these public footpaths be open for their full length?

Response:
The Countryside Access Team share the frustrations of the Ramblers and thank them for bringing this issue to our attention as unfortunately this area is not well used and apart from the Ramblers we had not received any other reports of these paths being obstructed.

i)The public footpaths were inspected in January 2015. There are a number of registered owners of the land concerned. Letters were sent in February 2015 requesting that they remove the fence obstructions. Subsequent investigations found that when the original parcel of land was divided into plots there was a complex arrangement re the maintenance responsibility of the individual fence lines. This was further complicated by the main property being put up for sale (the presence of the public footpaths were reflected in the sales particulars) and the retirement of the Countryside Access Officer for Tandridge & Reigate & Banstead at the end of March 2015 and the post then being vacant until the end of July 2015.

ii)Each Countryside Access Officer is responsible for approximately 850 kms of public rights of way and to help manage workload we follow a priority statement. Completely obstructed public rights of way can either be a priority 2 or 3 depending on the availability and length of an alternative route, safety of that route and type and frequency of use i.e. route to school or less used recreation route. The priority statement can be found on the Surrey County Council website at **http://www.surreycc.gov.uk/environment-housing-and-planning/countryside/footpaths-byways-and-bridleways/contact-us-about-rights-of-way**
We are unable to say how long it will be before each priority is progressed due to the volume of reports and the numbers in each of the preceding categories.

iii) The new Countryside Access Officer for the area has inspected public footpaths 354 and 356 Horne to familiarise herself with the issues. The area officer presently has 634 issues in the areas of Tandridge and Reigate & Banstead. 2 no. Priority 1 issues, 89 no. Priority 2 issues and 328 no. Priority 3 issues with the remaining being Priority 4s and 5s. We will begin again to seek to reopen these public footpaths once the sale of the property has been completed and other issues within Priority 2 deemed more urgent have been resolved It is hoped that they will again be open within the next six months, which will allow for any enforcement action if necessary.

Contact Officer: Mary-Ann Edwards, Countryside Access Officer Tandridge, Reigate & Banstead. 03456 009 009

SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL

LOCAL COMMITTEE (TANDRIDGE)

DATE: **11 December 2015**

SUBJECT: **Public Question**

DIVISION: **Oxted**

With regards to the A25 Limpsfield, Ann Osborn asks

Please may I start by thanking Mr Skellett and the Local Committee for obtaining approval from the Cabinet Member for Highways to reduce the speed limit from 40mph to 30mph on the A 25 outside the school. May I also thank the Road Safety officers for visiting the school to consider road safety issues and proposing the improvements in the Road Safety Outside Schools report you have before you today.

My question relates to those improvements in terms of cost and time scales. The estimated cost of the improvements in the Road Safety report is £26,000 - £33,000 but the Tandridge Transport Scheme Programme lists only £20,000. I would be grateful to know how the likely shortfall in costs might be covered, though I realise that the detailed design has not been completed.

Also these proposals do not include a signalised pedestrian crossing, though the report expresses the school's great concern that if the two school patrol officers were to leave, they would not be replaceable. Many parents park in the National Trust car park by the golf club and cross the busy A25 with their children, in the morning and afternoon. Without the school patrol officers this could be very dangerous, especially if the proposed Moorhouse Tile Site Planning application were to go ahead with the resulting increase in vans and lorries. Whilst we realise that the cost of such a crossing is considerable, I hope that the Local Committee might be able to access funds to provide this much needed crossing.

Could I close by asking for a likely date of completion of the agreed 30mph limit and the proposed double yellow lines? In addition what is the likely time scale for completion of the recommended road safety improvements in the report, in the hopeful assumption that these are agreed today?

Response:

The proposals set out in both the Highways Forward Programme and the Road Safety Outside Schools reports on this agenda are subject to Local Committee approval. The projected Local Committee devolved budget for capital highways improvement schemes for 2016/17 is lower than the budget received in 2015/16. There are numerous demands on this limited budget and it has not been possible to allocate more than £20,000 to progress the proposals set out in the Road Safety Outside Schools report. Without detailed design of the proposals, it is not possible to

say whether there will be a shortfall in funding and if so, by how much. It is envisaged that if there is insufficient funding, the divisional Member will be consulted on which elements to prioritise for delivery in 2016/17. Further funding would then be sought to enable the works to be completed early in 2017/18.

There is no funding allocated in the proposed 2016/17 – 2017/17 forward programmed as there is currently a school crossing patrol operating on the A25 Westerham Road. A signalised pedestrian crossing where the school crossing patrol currently operates is likely to cost in excess of the total Tandridge Local Committee's annual budget for capital highway improvement schemes. To fund such a proposal, the Local Committee would need to consider providing the money across two financial years. Officers will pursue alternative funding sources, such as developer contributions, where appropriate.

The Speed Limit Order for the reduction of the speed limit on the A25 Westerham Road outside Limpsfield Infant School is being progressed and it is anticipated that it will be advertised before Christmas. Delegated authority is in place to enable any objections to be considered without bringing a further report to Tandridge Local Committee. Assuming there are no objections that are upheld, the Speed Limit Order will be made and the reduced speed limit implemented this financial year.

The proposed double yellow lines are being progressed by the Parking Team as part of the 2015/16 Tandridge Parking Review. The proposals were advertised at the end of June and the responses have now been analysed. The Parking Team are discussing the results with Members. It is anticipated that the lining works will be completed by the end of the financial year.

Contact Officer: Anita Guy, Principle Engineer, South East Highways Team 03456 009 009

SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL

LOCAL COMMITTEE (TANDRIDGE)

DATE: **11 December 2015**

SUBJECT: **Public Question**

DIVISION: **Godstone**

Nutfield Parish Council asks:

Subject: Accumulations of debris, such as mud and sand, on the highway (A25) between Redhill and Bletchingley due to trucks that use the Biffa Site in Cormongers Lane and the new haul road to the Mercers South site.

"The A25 between Redhill and Bletchingley has become very dirty due to trucks depositing large amounts of mud and debris on to the highway which is a particular problem during the winter months when the road signs, especially the reflective ones, become ineffective very quickly. Can Surrey County Council Highways organise to have the road and footpaths cleaned more often than at present to alleviate this problem and reduce the hazards to motorists and pedestrians?"

Response:

The debris has now been cleansed from the road, and the issue with the cleansing of the footway has been reported. The signs on the A25 have been added to the list for sign cleaning. The mineral and waste sites on the A25 have wheel washers to minimise the amount of material that comes from the tyres on to the highway. However, there appears to have been some recent occurrences where large amounts of material have been deposited on to the carriageway and footway. Other construction sites in the area may also have contributed to this material being in the highway. This is going to be investigated further, with enforcement measures as required.

Routine street cleansing is managed by the District and Borough Councils, and this incident affected the highway in both Tandridge and Reigate and Banstead. The Street Cleaning teams in these areas have been informed. However, for information from Tandridge District Council "Due to the exceptional leaf fall, we have currently suspended our street cleaning schedule to prioritise roads that are currently experiencing a higher than normal amount of leaf fall on pavements and roads". However, the large deposit of debris, in this case, was a safety hazard and has been cleared.

Contact Officer: Zena Curry, Area Highway Manager 03456 009 009

This page is intentionally left blank

SURREY

LOCAL COMMITTEE (TANDRIDGE)

11 December 2015

SUPPLEMENTARY PAPERS

Item No.	Report
5	Formal Public Question Responses Question 1 – Residents from Le Personne, Caterham crossing Banstead Road Question 2- Tony Pearson – Footpaths 354 and 356 Horne Question 3 – Ann Osborn – A25 Limpsfield Question 4 – Nutfield Parish Council – mud on the A25 Question 5 – Bob Harvey – A25 Limpsfield
6	Members Question - Michael Sydney – Potential LEP bids in Tandridge
10	**Report** Surrey Fire and Rescue Service

This page is intentionally left blank

SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL

LOCAL COMMITTEE (TANDRIDGE)

DATE: **11 December 2015**

SUBJECT: **Member Question**

DIVISION: **All Divisions**

Michael Sydney, Divisional Member for Lingfield asks with regards to the Coast to Capital LEP scheme.

Can officers provide at this time a list of the locations for potential bids for the Coast to Capital LEP bids in Tandridge, and if so can officers confirm if the A264/A22 junction would be on this list?

Response:

Expression of Interests were previously submitted to the Coast to Capital Local Enterprise Partnership (C2C LEP) for the first rounds of the Growth Deal which resulted in a successful business case and funding for the A22 Strategic Resilience project in Tandridge. This scheme is nearing completion on site. Tandridge also received funding for town centre regeneration schemes in Oxted and Caterham.

Government Guidance on the next round of Growth Deals (Growth Deal 3) has yet to be issued by Government. This round will be for schemes that could be delivered in the period 2017/18 - 2020/21. As such the timescale for submission of Expressions of Interest for potential schemes for Growth Deal 3 has yet to be confirmed by the C2C LEP.

The potential types of schemes would be drawn from existing approved information sources such as the Local Transport Strategies (Tandridge Local Committee approved their Local Transport Strategy at its meeting on 12 December 2014), the Surrey Infrastructure Study and District & Borough priorities brought forward in visioning sessions.

The C2C LEP's focus is on funding schemes that are directly related to growth in jobs, employment floorspace and housing and will be prioritising and allocating funds to schemes that deliver on this criteria.

At this stage it is too early to confirm whether the A264/A22 junction would be included on the Growth Deal 3 list but any scheme at this location would need to compete on the LEP criteria against other schemes both within Tandridge and other Districts & Boroughs across the C2C area.

This page is intentionally left blank